IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 754 of 2005

Between:

Smt. P. Nirmala, W/o Dhanajaya Reddy,
R/o TT Palli Village, Mogirala Post, Pakala Mandal,

Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Sub Collector,(Civil Supplies),

Chittoor District at Chittoor.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Pakala Mandal, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not releasing the usual monthly quota on expiry of leave period in spite of submitting the fitness certificate and deposit of the amounts for issuance of the usual monthly quota attached to the petitioner's shop, as being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Principles of natural justice and fair play besides violative of Articles 14, 19(1) (g) and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to release the usual monthly quote to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.SUDHEER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The petitioner is functioning as a fair price shop dealer. Alleging that she has not been supplied with the essential commodities even while her authorization is subsisting, she filed this writ petition seeking appropriate directions.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies.

The writ petition was initially listed on 27-01-2005. Thereafter, it was adjourned twice. Today the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies has placed before this Court the order dated 04-02-2005, through which the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tirupathi, had suspended the authorization of the petitioner, pending enquiry into the allegations against her. In that view of the matter, the petitioner has to work out her remedies either by participating in the enquiry or by assailing the order of suspension.

Hence the writ petition is closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to work out her remedies against the order dated 04-02-2005. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

07-02-2005

vs/avs

To

1 The Sub Collector,(Civil Supplies),

Chittoor District at Chittoor.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Pakala Mandal, Chittoor District.

3 Two CCs to the G.P for Civil Supplies, High Court of A.P.,

Hyderabad(O.U.T).

4 2 CD copies